University of Copenhagen Department of Chemistry & Nano-Science Center

Revisiting the Fundamental Photophysical Properties of *f*–*f* Electronic Transitions

Nicolaj Kofod, Lea Gundorff Nielsen, Thomas Just Sørensen



Motivation

The intrinsic optical transitions between *f*-orbitals continues to defy our conventional understanding of photophysics. As the optical spectra informs directly on the ligand field and thus relates to the structure of the metal complex, optical spectroscopy has the potential to reveal the solution structure of these complicated systems. This is a crucial step in the efforts to improve lanthanide separations and nuclear fuel recycling processes. However, our current understanding of the *f*-*f* photophysics does not allow for such analysis. In this work we aim to change that, by probing the photophysical properties of $[Eu(MeOH-d_4)_9]^{3+}$ and $[Eu.DOTA(MeOH-d_4)]^{-}$ in MeOH-d₄ as a function of temperature.



Rates and Quantum Yields

We find that the Quantum Yield increases for the two complexes as temperatures are increased. This is due to a large increase in the radiative rate constant while non-radiative the rate constant remains unchanged. This is contrary to organic fluorophores and phosphorescent transition metal complexes where vibronic couplings dominate the transition probabilities.

Photophysical data of [Eu.DOTA(MeOH-d₄)]⁻ and [Eu(MeOH d₄)₉]³⁺ in MeOH-d₄

| [Eu.DOTA(MeOH-d ₄)] ⁻ | | | | | |
|---|------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Temperature | Φª | k _r (s⁻¹) | k _{nr} (s ⁻¹) | τ _{obs} (ms) | A _{MD} (s ⁻¹) |
| 10°C | 0.46 | 189 | 219 | 2.45 | 19.85 |
| 20°C | 0.47 | 192 | 218 | 2.44 | 20.45 |
| 30°C | 0.51 | 214 | 208 | 2.37 | 22.39 |
| 40°C | 0.56 | 256 | 200 | 2.19 | 27.34 |
| 50°C | 0.61 | 323 | 206 | 1.89 | 34.02 |
| [Eu(MeOH- d ₄) ₉] ³⁺ | | | | | |
| Temperature | Фª | k _r (s⁻¹) | k _{nr} (s⁻¹) | τ _{obs} (ms) | A _{MD} (s ⁻¹) |
| 10°C | 0.36 | 121 | 214 | 2.99 | 17.95 |
| 20°C | 0.37 | 126 | 210 | 2.98 | 18.45 |
| 30°C | 0.38 | 129 | 209 | 2.96 | 18.65 |
| 40°C | 0.38 | 131 | 211 | 2.92 | 18.77 |
| 50°C | 0.38 | 134 | 217 | 2.85 | 18.85 |
| | | | | | |

Transition Probabilities

We find that the absorption cross section of the complexes decreases as the temperature increases while the relative emission transition probability increases. These observations seem conflicting at first, but can be explained by considering the experimental timescale of the two techniques. Ligand exchange for felement complexes happens on a ns timescale. Compared to this, light absorption is instantaneous (fs timescale) while light emission is slow (ms timescale). The result of this is that in absorption spectroscopy we observe the average symmetry of the structure ensemble while in emission spectroscopy we observe a weighted average.



Higher Temperature

Weighted Averages

The excited state lifetime of *f*-element complexes is slow (μ s-ms) compared to molecular motion (ns). This allows for several conformations to be visited before emission occurs. As emission probability is directly linked to the symmetry a more distorted structure will be overrepresented in the emission spectrum even if it is only briefly populated.





Nicolaj Kofod nicolaj.kofod@chem.ku.dk Read more on ChemRxiv: https://doi.org/10.26434/ch emrxiv.14096603.v1