

The challenging separation of adjacent Am(III) and Cm(III)

<u>Davide Bertolotti</u>¹, Elena Macerata¹, Antonino Famulari², Eros Mossini¹, Francesco Galluccio¹, Marco Giola¹, Andrea Mele², Mario Mariani¹

¹Department of Energy, Politecnico di Milano, Piazza L. da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy, ²Department of Chemistry, Materials and ChemicalEngineering "G. Natta", Politecnico di Milano, Piazza L. da Vinci, 32, I-20133 Milano, Italy Contact: davide3.bertolotti@mail.polimi.it

REFERENCES

What is one of the most relevant drawbacks of nuclear energy? The production of nuclear waste, in particular, the **spent nuclear fuel**, that employs a too long period of time to be disposed of.

In recent years many efforts have been made to develop innovative nuclear *Fuel Cycles* to separate TRU elements from SNF in order to reuse them in new fuel and reduce long-term radiotoxicity and heat load of nuclear waste.

It is evident that it cannot attend such a long time to dispose of nuclear waste, and so it is necessary to find a suitable solution.

To date, the only process at an industrial level to approach the closure of the cycle is the **PUREX** process, through which it is possible to extract U and Pu from the spent fuel, thus reducing the volume of radiotoxic inventory by **recycling** a portion.

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

NaO₃S

The results achieved in the present research work will surely be useful for making progresses in solving the spent nuclear fuel management challenges.

1. C. Wagner et al., *Selective Extraction of Am(III) from PUREX Raffinate: The AmSel System, Solvent Extraction and Ion Exchange, 34*, 103-113 (2016). 2. A. Ossola*, Development of Novel Extracting Systems for Safe TransUranium Elements Separation from Spent Nuclear Fuel. PhD thesis (2018).* 3. S. Bell *et al.*, *Computational Chemistry Using Modern Electronic Structure Methods, Journal of Chemical Education,* 8, 84, 1364 (2007).

In the AmSel process, the separation of Am(III) from Cm(III) is achieved by **coextraction** of trivalent lanthanides and actinides by TODGA and the subsequent selective **stripping** of Am(III) by the water-soluble SO₃-Ph-BTBP [1]. The first attempt was to introduce in the organic solvent 2,6-Bis[1-(2-ethylhexyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl]pyridine (PTEH), a lipophilic ligand showing a preference for Cm [2].

4. G. Colombo Dugoni *et al.*, *Structural properties of the chelating agent 2,6-bis(1-(3-hydroxypropyl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)pyridine: a combined XRD and DFT structural study, RCS Adv., 10,* 19629-19635 (2020).

JdA-2021: 50^{èmes} Journées des Actinides +13th School on the Physics and Chemistry of the Acinides **ONLINE**

However, the relevant breakthrough towards fuel reprocessing lies in the *Minor Actinides* removal. To this purpose, several processes have been studied in the last decades and different strategies were proposed. Currently, the most **challenging separation** is that of Cm from Am, since short-lived Cm isotopes have a high neutron dose and heat load that makes the production and handling of new fuel extremely complicated. Different hydrometallurgical processes are under development, like Americium Selective extraction (**AmSel**), Extraction of Americium (EXAm) and Lanthaniden Und Curium Americum trennung (LUCA) processes, but none of these has found yet a suitable efficiency for Am recycling. Another important requirement to consider is the development of solvent extraction systems in compliance with the **CHON** rule, in order to be able to completely incinerate these chemical systems without producing secondary waste at the end of their useful life.

Some liquid-liquid extraction tests were performed with ¹⁵²Eu(III), ²⁴¹Am(III) and ²⁴⁴Cm(III), representative of Lanthanides and Actinides families, respectively, and exploring:

Within this context, my MSc thesis work would like to contribute to the challenging task of identifying effective systems for the separation of Am from Cm. In particular, two main approaches are pursuing:

- 1. Improvement of the Am/Cm selectivity of the AmSel reference extracting system by introducing a new extractant;
- 2. Design of new CHON hydrophilic complexing agents for the selective stripping of Am in the AmSel process.

The experimental campaign aimed to find an optimal working condition for both the ligands under which they could express their maximum selectivity. Unfortunately, the results obtained so far did not enable to find an effective working condition. Sulfonated BTBP seems to be a much stronger extractant than PTEH and it was not possible to find an optimal nitric acid concentration of the aqueous phase even with the addition of the ammonium nitrate to increase nitrate concentration. Further attempts are still in progress.

Starting from a wide literature review, a list of Core-Unit complexing core fulfilling the CHON principle and showing a different affinity for Am and Cm was drafted.

1. IMPROVEMENT OF Am/Cm SELECTIVITY

Authors would like to thank the PATRICIA project (H2020-Euratom Grant agreement ID: 945077) for the financial support and Dr. Geist for providing SO₃-Ph-BTBP compound.

OBJECTIVE

Combining these findings with the promising properties of **PyTri ligands** in both their hydrophilic and lipophilic derivatives (radiochemical stability, high solubility, targeted selectivity), the first idea was to transform the PyTri **complexing core** in a tetradentate one by replacing the pyridine with a bipyridine or a phenanthroline unit.

Such idea is under study by means of a combined approach that would exploit the advances achieved in **computational chemistry** [3] and experimental investigations. To this purpose, *Density Functional Theory* (**DFT**) calculations are in progress to investigate the affinity of the selected cores for Am, Cm and Eu cations. DFT calculations provide a correlation between *electron density* and the *energy* of the molecule under study. Thus, thanks to the DFT analysis it could be possible to understand what is the more stable complex formed with Am or Cm, and so understand what is the most promising ligand with respect to the purpose. At the beginning, simulations will be performed with the three different metal ions (Eu, Am and Cm) and without the **lateral chains**, replaced by a methyl group to simplify the computational calculations. Lateral chains will be selected in order to confer high **solubility** in water or in organic solvent to the complexing core under study and they will be introduced in the DFT calculations afterwards. Exploiting computational calculations previously done on PyTri ligands [4], the adopted procedure can be subdivided into the following steps:

- 1.Graphical representations of the component forming the complexes, *i.e.*, the ligand, the metal ion (Eu, Am, and Cm), and nitrate anions;
- 2.Geometry optimization for all the considered metal-ligand complexes, with the presence of nitrate anions;
- complex.

3.DFT calculations using Gaussian16 to find the energy of each singular component and of the whole complex, thanks to which is possible to calculate the differences between the complex total energy, minus the energy of individual components, to predict what is the most stable

BTzBP N' \bigcup N' BTPhen

2. DESIGN OF NEW LIGANDS

- 1. different PTEH concentrations in kerosene/1-octanol 90/10 vol.% (organic phase);
- 2. different concentrations of nitric acid and SO₃-Ph-BTBP in the aqueous phase, with the addition in some cases of ammonium nitrate.

The theoretical evaluations will be supported by synthesizing the most promising structures to experimentally assess their solubility and selectivity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS